

The rigid parts of the elements of the real Grothendieck groups

Sota Asai (Osaka University)

joint work with Osamu Iyama in progress

2023/03/23, Advances in Cluster Algebras 2023

Rigid parts of complexes

Let A be a fin. dim. alg. over a field K .

Consider the homotopy category $K^b(\text{proj } A)$ of complexes over the cat. $\text{proj } A$ of fin. gen. proj. A -modules.

- $U \in K^b(\text{proj } A)$: **presilting** $:\iff \text{Hom}_{K^b(\text{proj } A)}(U, U[> 0]) = 0$.
- Each $U \in K^b(\text{proj } A)$ has a unique decomp. $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m U_i$ into indec. direct summands up to iso. and reordering.
- For each $U \in K^b(\text{proj } A)$,
the maximum presilting direct summand of U is well-defined.
It can be called the **rigid part** of U .

Rigid parts of elements in $K_0(\text{proj } A)$

Consider the Grothendieck group $K_0(\text{proj } A)$.

- Each $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$ admits unique proj. $P_\theta^0, P_\theta^{-1} \in \text{proj } A$ such that $\text{add } P_\theta^0 \cap \text{add } P_\theta^{-1} = \{0\}$ and $\theta = [P_\theta^0] - [P_\theta^{-1}]$.
- Set $\text{Hom}(\theta) := \text{Hom}(P_\theta^{-1}, P_\theta^0)$: the presentation space of θ .
- Each $f \in \text{Hom}(\theta)$ defines a 2-term complex $P_f := (P_\theta^{-1} \xrightarrow{f} P_\theta^0)$.
- [Derksen-Fei] introduced the canonical decomp. $\theta = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \theta_i$ in $K_0(\text{proj } A)$ from the indec. decomp. of P_f for general $f \in \text{Hom}(\theta)$.
- $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$: rigid $:\iff \exists f \in \text{Hom}(\theta), P_f$: presilting.
- For each $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$, the maximum rigid direct summand of θ is well-defined. It can be called the rigid part of θ .

Problems of canon. decomp.

The definition of rigid parts of $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$ by using its canon. decomp. $\theta = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \theta_i$ is quite natural. However, canon. decomp. have the following problem.

Question (cf. [Derksen-Fei])

Let $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Is the rigid part of $m\theta$ always m times of the rigid part of θ ?

Answer [A-lyama]

No, we found an algebra A and $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$ such that

- the rigid part of 2θ is nonzero;
- the rigid part of θ is zero, and θ is indec.

To avoid this problem, we have defined the rigid part of $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$ in a different way (without canon. decomp.).

Rigid parts of elements in $K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$

We use the **real Grothendieck group** $K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}} := K_0(\text{proj } A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$.

We define the rigid part of each element $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

- For each basic 2-term presilt. complex $U = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m U_i$ (U_i : indec.), $C^\circ(U) := \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{R}_{>0}[U_i] \subset K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$: the **presilting cone**.
- $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$: **rigid** $:\iff \theta$ is in some presilting cone.

Main Theorem [A-Iyama]

The rigid part η of $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ in our definition satisfies:

- (a) η is rigid;
- (b) we can take “the weak direct sum” of η and $\theta - \eta$;
- (c) η is the maximum element satisfying (a) and (b);
- (d) for any $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $r\eta$ is the rigid part of $r\theta$;
- (e) if $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$, then there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $l\eta$ is the rigid part of $l\theta$ defined by canon. decomp.

Weak direct sums in $K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$?

Main Theorem [A-Iyama]

The rigid part η of $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ in our definition satisfies:

- (a) η is rigid;
- (b) we can take “the weak direct sum” of η and $\theta - \eta$;
- (c) η is the maximum element satisfying (a) and (b);
- (d) for any $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $r\eta$ is the rigid part of $r\theta$;
- (e) if $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$, then there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $l\eta$ is the rigid part of $l\theta$ defined by canon. decomp.

Strategy on (b)

Use numerical torsion pairs by [Baumann-Kamnitzer-Tingley], and define an open neighborhood N_U of $C^\circ(U)$ to define weak direct sums.

Maximum rigid direct summand in $K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$?

Main Theorem [A-Iyama]

The rigid part η of $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ in our definition satisfies:

- (a) η is rigid;
- (b) we can take “the weak direct sum” of η and $\theta - \eta$;
- (c) η is the **maximum element** satisfying (a) and (b);
- (d) for any $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $r\eta$ is the rigid part of $r\theta$;
- (e) if $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$, then there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $l\eta$ is the rigid part of $l\theta$ defined by canon. decomp.

Strategy on (c)

Study the boundary of $\overline{N_U}$ as a rational polyhedral cone to show that there is a **unique maximum** element η satisfying (a)(b).

Setting

Let A be a fin. dim. algebra over a field K .

- $\text{proj } A$: the category of fin. gen. projective A -modules.
- P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n : the non-iso. indec. proj. modules.
- $K^b(\text{proj } A)$: the homotopy cat. of bounded complexes over $\text{proj } A$.
- $\text{mod } A$: the category of fin. dim. A -modules.
- S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n : the non-iso. simple modules
(we may assume there exists a surj. $P_i \rightarrow S_i$).
- $D^b(\text{mod } A)$: the derived cat. of bounded complexes over $\text{mod } A$.
- $K_0(C)$: the Grothendieck group of C .
- $K_0(\text{proj } A) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}[P_i]$, $K_0(\text{mod } A) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}[S_i]$.
- $K_0(C)_{\mathbb{R}} := K_0(C) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$: the real Grothendieck group.
- $K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}[P_i]$, $K_0(\text{mod } A)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}[S_i]$.

The Euler form

Proposition

The Euler form is a \mathbb{Z} -bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: K_0(\text{proj } A) \times K_0(\text{mod } A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

such that $\langle [P_i], [S_j] \rangle = \delta_{i,j} \dim_K \text{End}_A(S_j)$.

These are naturally extended to the real Grothendieck groups:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}} \times K_0(\text{mod } A)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Via the Euler form, each $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ induces the \mathbb{R} -linear form

$$\theta := \langle \theta, \cdot \rangle: K_0(\text{mod } A)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

TF equivalence

Definition [Baumann-Kamnitzer-Tingley]

Let $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

We define **numerical torsion pairs** $(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}, \mathcal{F}_{\theta})$ and $(\mathcal{T}_{\theta}, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\theta})$ in $\text{mod } A$ by

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta} := \{M \in \text{mod } A \mid \theta(N) \geq 0 \text{ for any quotient } N \text{ of } M\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\theta} := \{M \in \text{mod } A \mid \theta(L) < 0 \text{ for any submodule } L \neq 0 \text{ of } M\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\theta} := \{M \in \text{mod } A \mid \theta(N) > 0 \text{ for any quotient } N \neq 0 \text{ of } M\},$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\theta} := \{M \in \text{mod } A \mid \theta(L) \leq 0 \text{ for any submodule } L \text{ of } M\}.$$

Definition

$\theta, \theta' \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ are **TF equivalent** $:\iff$

$$(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}, \mathcal{F}_{\theta}) = (\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta'}, \mathcal{F}_{\theta'}), \quad (\mathcal{T}_{\theta}, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\theta}) = (\mathcal{T}_{\theta'}, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\theta'}).$$

Presilting complexes

Let $U = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m U_i$ with U_i : indec.

- U : **basic** $\iff U_i \not\cong U_j$ ($i \neq j$).
- $|U| := \#\{\text{isoclasses of indec. direct summands of } U\}$.

Definition [Keller-Vossieck]

Let $U = (U^{-1} \rightarrow U^0) \in \text{K}^b(\text{proj } A)$ be a 2-term complex.

- (1) U : **presilting** $\iff \text{Hom}_{\text{K}^b(\text{proj } A)}(U, U[> 0]) = 0$.
- (2) U : **silting** $\iff U$: presilting, $\text{thick}_{\text{K}^b(\text{proj } A)} U = \text{K}^b(\text{proj } A)$.

2-psilt $A := \{\text{basic 2-term presilting complexes}\} / \cong$.

2-silt $A := \{\text{basic 2-term silting complexes}\} / \cong$.

Proposition [(1) Aihara, (2) Adachi-Iyama-Reiten]

- (1) $\forall U \in 2\text{-psilt } A, \exists T \in 2\text{-silt } A$ s.t. $U \in \text{add } T$.
- (2) $U \in 2\text{-silt } A \iff U \in 2\text{-psilt } A, |U| = n$.

Presilting cones

Let $U = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m U_i \in 2\text{-psilt } A$ with U_i : indec.

Proposition [Aihara-Iyama]

$[U_1], \dots, [U_m] \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$ are linearly independent.

If $U \in 2\text{-silt } A$, then they are a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $K_0(\text{proj } A)$.

Definition

We define the **presilting cones** $C(U), C^\circ(U)$ in $K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ by

$$C(U) := \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[U_i], \quad C^\circ(U) := \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{R}_{> 0}[U_i].$$

$\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$: **rigid** $:\iff \theta \in \bigcup_{U \in 2\text{-psilt } A} C^\circ(U)$.

$U \neq U' \in 2\text{-psilt } A \Rightarrow C^\circ(U) \cap C^\circ(U') = \emptyset$ [Demonet-Iyama-Jasso].

Presilting cones are TF equiv. classes

Theorem (\Rightarrow): [Yurikusa, Brüstle-Smith-Treffinger], (\Leftarrow): [A]

Let $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$.

Then, $C^\circ(U)$ is a TF equiv. class such that $\theta \in C^\circ(U) \iff$

$$(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\theta, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_\theta) = (\perp H^{-1}(\nu U), \text{Sub } H^{-1}(\nu U)),$$

$$(\mathcal{T}_\theta, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_\theta) = (\text{Fac } H^0(U), H^0(U)^\perp).$$

Definition

For any $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$, we set

$$(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_U, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_U) := (\perp H^{-1}(\nu U), \text{Sub } H^{-1}(\nu U)),$$

$$(\mathcal{T}_U, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_U) := (\text{Fac } H^0(U), H^0(U)^\perp).$$

Open neighborhoods of presilting cones

Definition

For any $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$, we set

$$N_U := \{\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \mathcal{T}_U \subset \mathcal{T}_\theta, \mathcal{F}_U \subset \mathcal{F}_\theta\}.$$

This is related to τ -tilting reduction by [Jasso].

Lemma

Let $U, V \in 2\text{-psilt } A$.

- (1) N_U is a union of TF equiv. classes.
- (2) N_U is an open neighborhood of $C^\circ(U)$.
- (3) $U \oplus V$: presilting $\iff N_U \cap N_V \neq \emptyset$.
In this case, $N_U \cap N_V = N_{U \oplus V}$.
- (4) $U \in \text{add } V \iff N_V \subset N_U \iff C^\circ(V) \subset N_U$.

The closure $\overline{N_U}$

We focus on the closure $\overline{N_U}$ more today.

Lemma

Let $U, V \in 2\text{-psilt } A$.

- (1) $\overline{N_U} = \{\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \mathcal{T}_U \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}, \mathcal{F}_U \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\theta}\}$.
- (2) $\overline{N_U}$ is a union of TF equiv. classes.
- (3) $\overline{N_U}$ is a rational polyhedral cone.
- (4) $U \oplus V$: presilting $\iff N_U \cap N_V \neq \emptyset \iff C(V) \subset \overline{N_U}$.

Definition

Let $\eta \in C^{\circ}(U)$ and $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Then, we say that we can take the **weak direct sum** of η and θ if $\theta \in \overline{N_U}$.

We will consider properties of $\overline{N_U}$ as a rational polyhedral cone.

Semibricks for U

Definition

(1) $S \in \text{mod } A$: **brick** $\iff \text{End}_A(S)$: a division ring.

(2) Let \mathcal{S} be a set of bricks.

\mathcal{S} : **semibrick** $\iff \text{Hom}_A(S, S') = 0$ for any $S \neq S' \in \mathcal{S}$.

Theorem [A]

Let $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$.

Then, there exist semibricks $\mathcal{S}_U, \mathcal{S}'_U$ such that

- \mathcal{T}_U is the smallest torsion class containing \mathcal{S}_U .
- \mathcal{F}_U is the smallest torsion-free class containing \mathcal{S}'_U .

The explicit forms of $\mathcal{S}_U, \mathcal{S}'_U$

Theorem [A]

Let $U = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m U_i \in 2\text{-psilt } A$ with U_i : indec.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} M_i &:= H^0(U_i), & X_i &:= M_i / \sum_{f \in \text{rad}_A(M, M_i)} \text{Im } f, \\ M'_i &:= H^{-1}(vU_i), & X'_i &:= \bigcap_{f \in \text{rad}_A(M'_i, M')} \text{Ker } f. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_U &= \{X_i \mid i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}\} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \mathcal{S}'_U &= \{X'_i \mid i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}\} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Relationship between N_U and $\mathcal{S}_U, \mathcal{S}'_U$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} N_U &= \{\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \mathcal{S}_U \subset \mathcal{T}_{\theta}, \mathcal{S}'_U \subset \mathcal{F}_{\theta}\}, \\ \overline{N_U} &= \{\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \mathcal{S}_U \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta}, \mathcal{S}'_U \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\theta}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\theta \in \partial \overline{N_U} \iff \theta \in \overline{N_U} \text{ and } (\exists i, X_i \in \mathcal{W}_{\theta} \text{ or } X'_i \in \mathcal{W}_{\theta}),$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{\theta} := \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\theta} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\theta}$: the **semistable subcategory** [King].

For $\theta \in \overline{N_U}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, we have unique short exact seq.

$$0 \rightarrow t_{\theta} X_i \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow w_{\theta} X_i \rightarrow 0 \quad (t_{\theta} X_i \in \mathcal{T}_{\theta}, w_{\theta} X_i \in \mathcal{W}_{\theta}), \quad (1)$$

$$0 \rightarrow w_{\theta} X'_i \rightarrow X'_i \rightarrow f_{\theta} X'_i \rightarrow 0 \quad (w_{\theta} X'_i \in \mathcal{W}_{\theta}, f_{\theta} X'_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta}). \quad (2)$$

Facets of $\overline{N_U}$

We set $\text{Facet } \overline{N_U} := \{\text{facets (faces of codim. 1) of } \overline{N_U}\}$.

Theorem [A-Iyama]

Let $U = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m U_i \in 2\text{-psilt } A$ with U_i : indec. and $F \in \text{Facet } \overline{N_U}$.
Then, there uniquely exist $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $L \in \text{mod } A \setminus \{0\}$ s.t.

- (i) $F = \{\theta \in \overline{N_U} \mid \theta(L) = 0\}$.
- (ii) $\forall \theta \in F^\circ$, the short exact sequences (1)(2) are constant,
and $(w_\theta X_i, w_\theta X'_i)$ is $(L, 0)$ or $(0, L)$.
- (iii) $\forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$,

$$[U_j](L) = \begin{cases} \dim_K \text{End}_A(X_i) & (i = j, (w_\theta X_i, w_\theta X'_i) = (L, 0)) \\ -\dim_K \text{End}_A(X'_i) & (i = j, (w_\theta X_i, w_\theta X'_i) = (0, L)) \\ 0 & (i \neq j) \end{cases}.$$

We write i_F and L_F for i and L above.

Relationship between $\overline{N_U}$ and L_F

For each $F \in \text{Facet } \overline{N_U}$, exactly one of the following holds.

(a) $\forall \theta \in F^\circ$, $X_{i_F} \notin \mathcal{T}_\theta$ and $X'_{i_F} \in \mathcal{F}_\theta$.

(b) $\forall \theta \in F^\circ$, $X_{i_F} \in \mathcal{T}_\theta$ and $X'_{i_F} \notin \mathcal{F}_\theta$.

We set $s_F := 1$ if (a) and $s_F := -1$ if (b).

Corollary

For $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$,

$$\overline{N_U} = \bigcap_{F \in \text{Facet } \overline{N_U}} \{\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid s_F \cdot \theta(L_F) \geq 0\}.$$

Corollary

Let $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$ and $F \in \text{Facet } \overline{N_U}$.

Then, $C(U/U_{i_F}) \subset F$.

Maximum η such that $\eta \oplus (\theta - \eta)$

Lemma

Let $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$ and $\theta \in \overline{N_U}$.

We set $H := \{\eta \in C(U) \mid \theta - \eta \in \overline{N_U}\}$.

Then, there exist $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$H = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m x_i [U_i] \mid x_i \in [0, a_i] (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}) \right\}.$$

Moreover, if $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$, then $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

η_U and η'_U are piecewise-projections

Definition

We define $\eta_U: \overline{N_U} \rightarrow C(U) \subset \overline{N_U}$ and $\eta'_U: \overline{N_U} \rightarrow \overline{N_U}$ by

$$\eta_U(\theta) := \sum_{i=1}^m a_i[U_i], \quad \eta'_U(\theta) := \theta - \eta_U(\theta).$$

Lemma

Let $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$.

- (1) $\eta_U: \overline{N_U} \rightarrow C(U) \subset \overline{N_U}$ and $\eta'_U: \overline{N_U} \rightarrow \overline{N_U}$ are piecewise-linear.
- (2) $\eta_U \circ \eta_U = \eta_U$, $\eta_U \circ \eta'_U = 0$, $\eta'_U \circ \eta_U = 0$, $\eta'_U \circ \eta'_U = \eta'_U$.
- (3) If $U = V \oplus W$, then $\eta_U = \eta_V + \eta_W$, $\eta_W = \eta_W \circ \eta'_V$ on $\overline{N_U}$.

Decompositions of $\theta \in \overline{N_U}$

The image of η_U is $C(U)$, and the image of η'_U is

$$J_U := \{\theta \in \overline{N_U} \mid \eta_U(\theta) = 0\} = \overline{N_U} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{V \in 2\text{-psilt } A, 0 \neq V \in \text{add } U} N_V \right).$$

Proposition

For any $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$, there exists a bijection

$$(\eta_U, \eta'_U): \overline{N_U} \rightarrow C(U) \times J_U; \quad \theta \mapsto (\eta_U(\theta), \eta'_U(\theta)).$$

In other words, for any $\theta \in \overline{N_U}$, there uniquely exist $\eta \in C(U)$ and $\eta' \in J_U$ such that $\theta = \eta + \eta'$, and actually, $\eta = \eta_U(\theta)$ and $\eta' = \eta'_U(\theta)$.

A stratification in $K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$

$2\text{-psilt}_U A := \{V \in 2\text{-psilt } A \mid U \in \text{add } V\}$.

Definition

For $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$, we set

$$R_U := N_U \setminus \bigcup_{V \in (2\text{-psilt}_U A) \setminus \{U\}} N_V.$$

- If $\theta \in R_U$, then U is the max. $V \in 2\text{-psilt } A$ such that $\theta \in N_V$.
- $K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigsqcup_{U \in 2\text{-psilt } A} R_U$.

Remark

The family $\{R_U\}_{U \in 2\text{-psilt } A}$ is a stratification in $K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The rigid parts of elements in $K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$

Definition

For $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$, take the unique $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$ such that $\theta \in R_U$. Then, we call $\eta_U(\theta)$ as the **rigid part** of θ .

Corollary

For any $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the rigid part of $r\theta$ is r times of the rigid part of θ .

The rigid part of θ is maximum in the following sense.

Theorem [A-Iyama]

Let $U = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m U_i \in 2\text{-psilt } A$ with U_i : indec. and $\theta \in R_U$.

Assume that $V \in 2\text{-psilt } A$ and $\eta \in C^\circ(V)$ satisfies $\theta - \eta \in \overline{N_V}$.

(1) $V \in \text{add } U$.

(2) Write $\eta_U(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i[U_i]$ and $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i[U_i]$.

Then, for each i , we have $a_i \geq b_i$.

The properties of rigid parts

Theorem [A-Iyama]

Let $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$.

Then, there exists a bijection

$$(\eta_U, \eta'_U): R_U \rightarrow C^\circ(U) \times (\overline{N_U} \cap R_0); \quad \theta \mapsto (\eta_U(\theta), \eta'_U(\theta)).$$

We have

$$K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigsqcup_{U \in 2\text{-psilt } A} R_U = \bigsqcup_{U \in 2\text{-psilt } A} (C^\circ(U) \times (\overline{N_U} \cap R_0)).$$

In particular, for each $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$,

there uniquely exist $U \in 2\text{-psilt } A$ and $\eta \in C^\circ(U)$, $\eta' \in \overline{N_U} \cap R_0$ satisfying $\theta = \eta + \eta'$.

Relationship between canon. decomp.

By **canon. decomp.** $\theta = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \theta_i$ in $K_0(\text{proj } A)$ of [Derksen-Fei], the **max. rigid direct summand** θ_{ri} of θ is defined independently.

We set $\theta_{\text{nr}} := \theta - \theta_{\text{ri}}$.

Theorem [A-Iyama]

Let K be alg. closed and $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

(1) $\exists l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the rigid part of $l\theta$ (in our sense) is $(l\theta)_{\text{ri}}$.

(2) l in (1) satisfies $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(ml\theta)_{\text{ri}} = m \cdot (l\theta)_{\text{ri}}$, $(ml\theta)_{\text{nr}} = m \cdot (l\theta)_{\text{nr}}$.

Future problem

We found an algebra A and $\theta \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$ such that we cannot let $l = 1$ in Theorem.

When can we let $l = 1$?

Example of rigid parts (1)

Let A be the algebra

$$K \left(\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \\ 1 & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & \\ & & 2 \\ & & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} \\ & & 3 \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & \\ & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & \\ & & \\ & & \xrightarrow{\beta_3} & \end{array} \right) / \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1\beta_1, \alpha_2\beta_2, \alpha_3\beta_3, \\ \alpha_1\beta_1 + \alpha_2\beta_3, \alpha_2\beta_2 + \alpha_3\beta_1, \alpha_3\beta_3 + \alpha_1\beta_2 \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Consider the element $\theta := [P_1] + [P_2] - [P_3] \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$.

- $\theta \in R_{P_1}$ and $\eta_{P_1}(\theta) = [P_1]$: the rigid part of θ (in our sense).
- The canon. decomp. of θ is θ itself, and $\theta_{r_i} = 0$.
- We can take the weak direct sum of $[P_1]$ and $[P_2] - [P_3]$, but their direct sum in the sense of [Derksen-Fei] is NOT allowed.

We found this example by using results of [Fei].

Example of rigid parts (2)

Let A be the algebra

$$K \left(\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & 2 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \\ & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} & \xrightarrow{\beta_3} \\ & & 3 \end{array} \right) / \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1\beta_1, \alpha_2\beta_2, \alpha_3\beta_3, \\ \alpha_1\beta_1 + \alpha_2\beta_3, \alpha_2\beta_2 + \alpha_3\beta_1, \alpha_3\beta_3 + \alpha_1\beta_2 \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Consider the element $\theta := [P_1] + [P_2] - [P_3] \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$.

- $2\theta \in R_{P_1}$ and $\eta_{P_1}(2\theta) = 2[P_1]$: the rigid part of θ (in our sense).
- The canon. decomp. of 2θ is $[P_1] \oplus [P_1] \oplus (2[P_2] - 2[P_3])$ and $\theta_{\text{ri}} = 2[P_1]$.
- We can take the weak direct sum of $[P_1]$ and $2[P_2] - 2[P_3]$, and their direct sum in the sense of [Derksen-Fei] is also allowed.
- For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $\eta_U(2m\theta) = (2m\theta)_{\text{ri}} = 2m[P_1]$.

Thank you for your attention.

s-asai@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp